



PROJECT MUSE®

*Pigmentocracies: Ethnicity, Race, and Color in Latin America*  
by Edward Telles (review)

Stanley R. Bailey

The Americas, Volume 73, Number 1, January 2016, pp. 102-104 (Review)

Published by Cambridge University Press



➔ For additional information about this article  
<https://muse.jhu.edu/article/614121>

archival research to demonstrate how performance analysis can contribute to historical methodologies.

*University of Michigan*  
*Ann Arbor, Michigan*

ANITA GONZÁLEZ

*Pigmentocracies: Ethnicity, Race, and Color in Latin America.* By Edward Telles. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 2014. Pp. 320. Figures. Maps. Tables. Notes. Bibliography. Index. \$29.95 paper.  
doi:[10.1017/tam.2016.12](https://doi.org/10.1017/tam.2016.12)

Edward Telles and a team of researchers offer a bold exploration of two hotly debated questions in the new book *Pigmentocracies*: What is the effect of the ideology of *mestizaje* on public opinion in Latin America? What is the comparative value of skin color and ethno-racial category measures for inequality studies in Latin America? To respond, the book uses original 2010 survey data on Brazil, Colombia, Mexico, and Peru from Princeton's Project on Ethnicity and Race in Latin America (henceforward, PERLA). Four country-level chapters form the book's body, each authored by a set of PERLA researchers according to country of expertise. Telles, PERLA's principal investigator, co-authors broad introductory and concluding chapters.

Why are PERLA data important? These surveys, in tandem with those of the Latin American Public Opinion Project at Vanderbilt University, are the first to use comparative interviewer-rated skin color measures (via a skin color palette) in representative samples across Latin America. In addition, only in Brazil have there previously been robust, large-sample surveys exploring public opinion on racial issues. Thus, PERLA's data offer first-ever glances into Peru, Colombia, and Mexico, while providing points of comparison on earlier survey research in Brazil.

In regard to ways in which ideologies of *mestizaje* affect public opinion, results in Peru, Colombia, and Mexico provide surprising answers. Whereas most scholarship characterizes generalized racial attitudes in those countries as imbued with denials of racism, results reveal that the opposite is actually the case for overwhelming majorities in all three countries—and for all skin colors and ethno-racial categories. Contemporary scholarship has described a general opposition to anti-racism mobilization and public policy redress of minority structural disadvantage in Colombia, Peru, and Mexico; nonetheless, *Pigmentocracies* survey results reveal majority support for these approaches in all three countries. These findings hold true in regard to Brazilians' racial attitudes as well, confirming earlier research.

In regard to the relative value of color and ethno-racial category for capturing inequality in core measures of socioeconomic status (SES), *Pigmentocracies* results throw a curve

ball: standard ethno-racial category measures appear distorting compared to skin color for mapping educational inequality in all four contexts, as figures on pages 225 and 228 illustrate. For example, the application of ethno-racial categories reveals white disadvantage relative to mulattos and mestizos in Colombia, and white disadvantage relative to mestizos in Mexico. In Peru, results on education show no significant differences across ethno-racial categories. In Brazil, *Pigmentocracies* shows how ethno-racial categories obscure significant SES differences between populations of mid-range skin color and those of darker skin color; ethno-racial categories in Brazil also obscure the magnitude of the inequality gap between lightest and darkest skin-color categories.

With these bold findings, how might this book help reorient the field? From the lens of generalized racial attitudes, *Pigmentocracies* suggests caution in regard to the both the widespread scholarly demonization of ideologies of mestizaje and the indictment of mestizos/pardos/morenos as deniers, avoiders, and obstacles for anti-racism. Second, it suggests that states and science should be interrogated regarding their reliance on standard ethno-racial parsing as a means of capturing social inequality. There are indeed other arguments for parsing a country's population by ethno-racial categories, but applying them directly and exclusively to monitor social inequality in key SES indexes is not easily supported, based on this book.

*Pigmentocracies* does have a few drawbacks. All the chapters report recent shifts in public opinion, but their surveys are cross-sectional and thus do not reflect the broader shifts. Certainly there are concrete changes in some states' ethno-racial practices, but the actual power of these changes to produce major shifts in public opinion is neither supported by evidence nor rigorously theorized. Only in Brazil, where there were baseline surveys from previous decades, could authors explore the possibility of shifts in public opinion over time; however, comparative analysis of those surveys suggest attitudinal continuity in Brazil rather than a broad shift, as the authors themselves partially note. The book would have benefited significantly from a methodological appendix detailing the research design, including information on the actual survey questionnaire, or at least on the ordering and wording of the survey items that led to the book's various analyses.

In spite of these few caveats, I highly recommend this book. I do so first and foremost for quantitative scholars of ethno-racial inequality and racial attitudes. *Pigmentocracies* questions many standing assumptions that hamper those specific areas of the social sciences. I also recommend this book for government and social movement actors, especially those involved in decision-making processes and lobbying regarding official ethno-racial classification schemes and policies aimed at ethno-racial issues.

*Pigmentocracies* questions some of their assumptions as well. The book's findings could help increase significantly these various actors' efficiency in pursuing the common goal

of addressing the perverse effects of both historical and present-day discriminatory structures.

*University of California*  
*Irvine, California*

STANLEY R. BAILEY

*Portrait of a Young Painter: Pepe Zúñiga and Mexico City's Rebel Generation.* By Mary Kay Vaughan. Durham and London: Duke University Press, 2014. Pp. xiii, 289. Illustrations. Notes. Bibliography. Index. \$84.95 cloth; \$24.95 paper.  
doi:[10.1017/tam.2016.13](https://doi.org/10.1017/tam.2016.13)

Through her innovative biographical approach, Mary Kay Vaughan once again expands the boundaries of history. In *Portrait of a Young Painter*, Vaughan has produced an original study that radiates joy, exuberance, and love of life. Beyond opening a window into the life of the intriguing artist Pepe Zúñiga, Vaughan's study offers an important addition to the historiography of Mexico, the role of culture within society, the youth movement and protests, poverty and the family, Mexico City's art scene, and much more. In her analysis of the formation of post-1940 Mexico and the 1968 student protests, Vaughan emphasizes the rise of a creative and often rebellious youth movement that questioned capitalism and traditions. In this manner Vaughan reveals not only the ways in which young people participated in the shaping of historical processes, but also how historical actors negotiated competing discourses. Here she moves beyond traditional notions of cultural history to include an analysis of memory and subjectivity in the construction of Zúñiga's narrative.

In addition to archival documents, Vaughan masterfully draws on oral interviews, bringing to life Zúñiga's world, as well as the voices of family members, friends and neighbors, artists, and intellectuals. Although Vaughan's affection for the artist and those who surrounded him engages the reader, she clearly understands her position vis-à-vis her subjects. She carefully interrogates the elements that shaped her relationship with Zúñiga, including the complications of memory. Vaughan also looks to "new biography," which, unlike traditional biography, is "less interested in a person for his or her unique contribution to history or the arts and more interested in how an individual life reflects and illuminates historical processes" (p. 5). Vaughan's study of Zúñiga deftly does just that: through her richly painted textual portrait, she presents the vibrantly colorful interiors of Mexico City and the changing historical events of Mexico's political, social, and artistic world that shaped Zúñiga and other youth of his generation.

After an introduction that presents the theories that structure the study, Vaughan moves forward from the time of Zúñiga's childhood to the student protests of 1968, with a final chapter on Zúñiga as a mature artist. In developing the book, she explores four processes shared by Zúñiga and the youth of this era: 1) a mid-twentieth-century